Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,904	SCHUESSLER, DAVID J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Mackey	1722
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Am</u>	<u>ended</u>
(1) <u>James Mackey</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James Klaiber</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 August 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 22, 39, 43		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	·	
•		•
James Man -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorization was given to cancel claims 39 and 43, since the original disclosure does not describe the vent path having a lip at an interior end. Applicant also confirmed that claim 22 has been canceled (as described in the Remarks filed on 20 June 2005, in agreement with the claim status identifier "cancelled")..